

Test Report

Assessor Instructions: This template adheres to the expectations by outlining the code's variables testing, executing two basic test cases, evaluating control structures, and assessing how well the code meets the task objectives. For actual testing, more detailed and dynamic analysis would be conducted within an IDE environment, using debugging tools to trace variable values and execution flow and to identify runtime errors or logical issues.

For the task at hand, considering the application's scope and the need for effective debugging and testing tools, **Visual Studio Code** would be an excellent choice. Visual Studio Code, with its lightweight nature and extensive plugin system, would be particularly useful for a wide range of development tasks, including web and mobile app development, which is relevant for the Bounce Fitness Connect application. Visual Studio Code has been discussed and demonstrated in the learning.

The provided code snippet from the **Application Code – Assessor Guide**, effectively demonstrates the basic logic required for the Bounce Fitness Connect application, focusing on user authentication and class scheduling/booking functionalities and has no errors. So, this template has been developed based on the test cases for the code provided, and it is **error-free**.

When testing a Python application like the one developed for Bounce Fitness Connect, which involves user authentication and class scheduling/booking functionalities, several common hypothetical errors could be identified:

1. **Syntax Errors:** These are mistakes in the code's syntax, like missing colons, incorrect indentation, or typos in function names or keywords. They usually prevent the code from executing.
2. **Runtime Errors:** These occur during the execution of the program, such as trying to access an undefined variable, performing an operation on incompatible types, or accessing out-of-bound indices in a list.
3. **Logic Errors:** These are mistakes in the program's logic that lead to incorrect behaviour, like incorrect comparisons in **if** statements, wrong conditions in loops, or errors in the business logic that handles user authentication and class booking.
4. **Authentication Failures:** Issues in the user login process, such as incorrect handling of user credentials, failing to check for user existence, or improperly managing session states, can lead to authentication errors.
5. **Database Interaction Issues:** Since the application interacts with a database to fetch class schedules and handle user data, common errors include incorrect database queries, failure to handle database connection issues, or improper data handling, leading to inconsistent states.
6. **Concurrency Problems:** In a real-world scenario, handling multiple users booking classes simultaneously could lead to concurrency issues, such as race conditions, where two users manage to book the last spot in a class due to insufficient synchronisation.
7. **Input Validation Errors:** Failing to properly validate user input can lead to various issues, including security vulnerabilities like SQL injection or logical errors like booking a class for a date in the past.

8. **Error Handling Issues:** Insufficient or incorrect error handling can lead to unhelpful error messages for the user, or worse, crashes and unhandled exceptions that terminate the program unexpectedly.
9. **Performance Bottlenecks:** Inefficient algorithms or unoptimised database queries can lead to performance issues, especially under load, which might not be evident during initial testing but can become significant problems as usage grows.
10. **Integration Issues:** When different parts of the application (like the user interface and the backend server) or external services (like payment processors or email services) are integrated, mismatches in expected data formats, communication protocols, or endpoint URLs can lead to failures.

These hypothetical errors are commonly encountered during the development and testing phases and can usually be identified and resolved through a combination of static code analysis, unit testing, integration testing, and end-to-end system testing.

TEST REPORT

Student Name	
Workplace/Organisation	Bounce Fitness
Date Prepared	
State/Territory	

VARIABLES TESTING

Errors	Line Number	Description	Changes Made
<i>List each error identified in the variables of the code.</i>	<i>The line number where each error appears in.</i>	<i>A brief description of each error identified. This can include information on what the problem with the variable is and its impact on the code or application.</i> [Approximate word count: 20 – 30 words]	<i>All changes made to address each error identified.</i>
a. None observed	N/A	No explicit errors in the static code review	No changes required
b.			
c.			

Add more rows as necessary

Test Case 1	
<p>Test Case</p> <p><i>Describe the scenario for the test case.</i></p> <p><i>This can include information on how the test case is relevant to the objectives of the application as indicated in the design document</i></p> <p>[Approximate word count: 50 – 100 words]</p>	<p>Use Authentication</p> <p>The objective is to verify that the user authentication process works as intended.</p> <p>Ensuring that only authenticated users can access their schedules and book classes is crucial for member engagement and security.</p> <p>The process will be to attempt to log in with both valid and invalid credentials. The system should only grant access when the credentials are correct, aligning with the security and user management objectives.</p>
<p>Outcome of Test Case</p> <p>[Approximate word count: 10 – 20 words]</p>	<p>Expected to print "Login successful." and return True.</p>
Errors or Issues	Changes Made
<p>a.</p> <p>None observed</p>	<p>No changes required</p>
<p>b.</p>	
<p>c.</p>	

Add more rows as necessary

Test Case 2	
<p>Test Case</p> <p><i>Describe the scenario for the test case.</i></p> <p><i>This can include information on how the test case is relevant to the objectives of the application as indicated in the design document</i></p> <p>[Approximate word count: 50 – 100 words]</p>	<p>Class Scheduling and Booking</p> <p>The objective is to test the functionality of class scheduling and booking, ensuring members can view and book available fitness classes easily.</p> <p>This directly relates to the core objective of enhancing member engagement by providing an intuitive and accessible way for members to manage their fitness routines.</p> <p>After successful authentication, the member tries to book a yoga class. The system should show available classes, allow the member to select a class, and successfully book it if space is available. This process tests the application's ability to manage class schedules and bookings effectively.</p>
<p>Outcome of Test Case</p>	<p>Expected to print available classes and "Successfully booked Yoga."</p>
Errors or Issues	Changes Made
<p>a.</p> <p>None observed</p>	<p>No changes required</p>
<p>b.</p>	
<p>c.</p>	

Add more rows as necessary

Code Evaluation

This must include the following:

- *What control structures were used in the code*
- *Explanation of how well the code and application meets all task objectives identified*

[Approximate word count: 50 – 100 words]

Control Structures Used in the Code:

- Sequence constructs: Linear execution of instructions.
- Selection constructs: if statements for decision making in authentication and booking.
- Iteration constructs: for loop to iterate through the class schedule.

Evaluation of Code Meeting Task Objectives:

- The code provides a basic implementation of the specified functionalities: user authentication and class scheduling/booking.
- User authentication is simulated with a placeholder function that always returns True, indicating successful login.
- Class scheduling and booking are demonstrated with a static list of classes and a simulated booking function.

END OF TEST LOGS AND REPORTS